

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,823	06/27/2003	Raj Manakkal	01014-1003	7983
7590 04/22/2005			EXAMINER	
DITTHAVONG & CARLSON, P.C.			LAU, TUNG S	
Suite A 10507 Braddock Rd			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA 22032			2863	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		<i>2</i> 5			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/608,823	MANAKKAL, RAJ			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tung S. Lau	2863			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	pril 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-9 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
,	,	kanimer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOMIT PT O-132.			
12) [ a) [	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	•					
2)  Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kell (U.S. Patent 5,924,057).

## Regarding claim 1:

Kell discloses a method for preventing fraudulent tampering of an odometer disposed within a vehicle, comprising: determining whether the vehicle has been driven for a predetermined period of time (abstract, Col. 3-4, Lines 5-8); counting a number of pulses on an input to the odometer during the predetermined period of time (Col. 3-4, Lines 5-8); and signaling an odometer fraud condition based on the number of pulses and said determining whether the vehicle has been driven for the predetermined period of time (Col. 3-4, Lines 5-8).

# Regarding claim 9:

Kell discloses a method for preventing tampering of a recording device disposed within a vehicle, comprising: determining whether the vehicle has been driven for a predetermined period of time (Col. 3-4, Lines 5-8); counting a number of pulses on an input to the recording device during the predetermined period of time (Col.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,823

Art Unit: 2863

3-4, Lines 5-8); comparing the counted number of pulses with a predetermined threshold (Col. 3-4, Lines 5-8); and if the vehicle has been driven for the predetermined period of time and if the counted number of pulses is less than or equal to the predetermined threshold, then signaling a fraud condition (Col. 3, Lines 38-52).

Regarding claim 4, Kell discloses a gear shift position (Col. 2, Lines 30-42);
Regarding claim 6, Kell discloses pulse are received on the input to the odometer (Col. 3, Lines 5-13); Regarding claims 7, 13, Kell discloses computer medium instruction (fig. 2, unit 30, 34, 24); Regarding claims 8,14, Kell discloses memory and a controller (fig. 2, unit 30, 32); Regarding claim 12, Kell discloses digital odometer (abstract).

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 3, 5, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach fraud condition is signaled when the number is zero, the predetermined time is at least one hour, the error to be displayed on the odometer.

Art Unit: 2863

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 15-17 are allowed.

### Reasons for Allowance

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent claim 15 contains allowable subject matter. None of the prior art of record shows or fairly suggests the claimed invention.

### Regarding claim 15:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 15 is the inclusion of the method steps of determining whether the vehicle has been driven for an hour and causing the error indicating to be displayed on the odometer. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Claims 16-17 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,823

Art Unit: 2863

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. Applicant argues in arguments that the prior art does not show the 'determining whether the vehicle has been driven for a predetermined period of time'. Kell discloses 'determining whether the vehicle has been driven for a predetermined period of time' in Col. 3-4, Lines 5-8, where Kell talks about updating the mileage recorded of the car every 10 seconds, essentially 'determining whether the vehicle has been driven for a predetermined period of time'.

The examiner reminds to the applicants that during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969). While the meaning of claims of issued patents are interpreted in light of

Art Unit: 2863

the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allowed. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 571-272-2274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Application/Control Number: 10/608,823

Art Unit: 2863

Page 7

John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Theology Center 2800